Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM			
2014 RSVP Competition			
Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc.	Applicant	: ID # 14S	R555555
Opportunity # AZ-03	Panel #	47	
Reviewer Name Joe Smith	PC X	Int	Ex

Score:	Using the reviewer rubric as a guide to understanding the ratings, select a rating to show how well the						
Туре	application addresses each selection criterion element. I. Program Design (50%)						
the							
	Strengthening C	ommunities – Questions 1-7					
score	Strenothening Communities (35%)						
selected							
into this	Q1. Describes the community and demonstrates through both the narrative and work plans that the community need(s)						
column.		ocus Area exist in the geographic service area.					
50	_X_Excellent (50 pts.)	 Demonstrates a community need that is a high priority for the geographic service area, using objective data and evidence, or statements of support from key stakeholders. Goes beyond what was requested; shows that meeting this need is a high priority for the geographic service area. Provides a thorough, detailed response to all of the information requested. Provides a clear and highly compelling description of the community as well as the need in both the narrative and the work plan. Supports assertion of a high priority community need with statements of support from key stakeholders. 					
	Good (34 pts.)	Describes both the community and the need in the geographic service area using objective data included in both the work plan and the narrative. Provides a response to all of the information requested. Explains most assumptions that the community need exists.					
	Fair (18 pts.)	 Supports assertion of the community need with examples or other objective data. Demonstrates a community need in the geographic service area. 					
		 Describes a community need but is sometimes unclear how the objective data demonstrates that the community need exists in the geographic service area. Describes the community but makes some assumptions about the connection between the community and the community need. The community needs in the narrative and work plans are not aligned. 					
	Does Not Meet (0 pts.)	Does not describe a community need in the geographic service area. Gives many unsupported assumptions and reasons that the issue described is a community need. Makes many assumptions that the community need exists in the geographic service area. Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it. Does not include a response describing the community need in either the narrative or the work plans.					
	Q2. Describes in the narrate outputs or outcomes.	ive how the service activities in the <u>Primary Focus Area</u> lead to National Performance Measure					
	Excellent (50 pts.)	Presents an evidence basis demonstrating that this service activity will lead to the National Performance Measure(s). Highest probability and confidence that the service activity will lead to outputs or outcomes. Goes beyond what was requested, using an evidence basis (using performance data, research, a well-developed theory of change). Provides a thorough, detailed response to all of the information requested. Provides a clear and highly compelling description of how the proposed RSVP volunteer activities leads to a National Performance Measure.					
34	_X_Good (34 pts.)	Clearly and convincingly demonstrates how the proposed service activity is related to successfully achieving the National Performance Measure(s). High probability and confidence that the service activity will lead to outputs or outcomes. Provides a realistic description of how proposed service activity is related to achieving					

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM			
2014 RSVP Competition			
Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc.	Applicant	: ID # 14S	R555555
Opportunity # AZ-03	Panel #	47	
Reviewer Name Joe Smith	PC X	Int	Ex

ormance Measure(s). sumptions and reasons.
posed service activity is related to successfully achieving the National
to acceptable probability that the service activity will lead to outputs
lear how the proposed activities will achieve the anticipated results.
mptions.
the proposed service activity is related or is only tangentially related
Performance Measure. Low probability the service activity will lead to
description of how the proposed service activity is related to ving the National Performance Measures.
'back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
National Performance Measures.
ot address any performance measures from the work plan.
to support data collection and ensure National Performance Measure
aged.
nfidence that the National Performance Measure outputs and , collected, and managed.
at was requested, showing that the applicant has experience in
orting similar performance measures with consideration to proper
ocesses ensuring accuracy and consistency.
igh, detailed explanation of their data collection processes including and outcomes will be collected accurately and consistently.
igh, detailed explanation of the infrastructure available to collect and onal Performance Measure data, including systems and tools for ollection.
dence that the National Performance Measure outputs and outcomes
, and managed.
c description of how the outputs and outcomes will be accurately and ured.
collect National Performance Measure data that explains most
on on infrastructure and data management that explains most
the National Performance Measure outputs and outcomes will be
anaged.
lear how the outputs and outcomes will be accurately and consistently
, , ,
collect National Performance Measure data that makes some
on on infrastructure and data management that makes some
nal Performance Measure outputs and outcomes will be measured,
description of how the outputs and outcomes will be accurately and ured.
collect National Performance Measure data that includes many mptions.

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM 2014 RSVP COMPETITION Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc. Opportunity # AZ-03 Reviewer Name Joe Smith Community Group, Inc. Applicant ID # 14SR555555 Panel # 47 PC X Int Ex

	1	
		 Covers information on infrastructure that makes many unsupported assumptions.
		 Did not connect the plan or infrastructure to National Performance Measure
		measurement.
		■ Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
		 Does not provide information on either the plan or the infrastructure to collect and
		manage data for National Performance Measures.
	Q4. Program Design as des	cribed in the narrative includes activity in service to veterans and/or military families as part of
		s Area, Other Focus Areas or Capacity Building.
	Excellent (50 pts.)	Significant activity in service to veterans and/or military families that includes the unique value of
		service by RSVP volunteers who are veterans and/or military family members. Highest
		probability and confidence that the plans for this activity will benefit veterans and/or military
		family members.
		 Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has anticipated issues that
		may arise in serving veterans and/or military families.
		 Provides a clear and realistic plan to serve veterans and/or military families with the
		infrastructure to sustain this service.
		 Supports ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting
		service activity to veterans and/or military families.
	Good (34 pts.)	Significant activity in service to veterans and/or military families. High probability and
		confidence that the plans for this activity will benefit veterans and/or military family members.
		 Provides a realistic plan to serve veterans and/or military families.
		Explains most assumptions and reasons.
		 Supports ideas with plans, examples, or outlines.
18	XFair (18 pts.)	Some activity in service to veterans and/or military families. Acceptable confidence that the plans
	11_1 un (10 pto.)	for this activity will be met.
		Is sometimes unclear how the proposed service activities will serve veterans and/or
		military families.
		Makes some assumptions and leaves some reasons unexplained.
	Does Not Meet (0 pts.)	Unrealistic or no activity(ies) in service to veterans and/or military families or little confidence
		that proposed plans will lead to activity.
		Gives an unclear description of how the proposed service activities will serve veterans
		and/or military families.
		Gives many unsupported assumptions and reasons in serving veterans and/or military
		families.
		Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
		Does not address veterans and/or military families.
	O5 Work plans logically as	onnect four major elements in the <u>Primary Focus Area</u> to each other and are aligned with National
	Performance Measure instr	
		v need(s) identified
		vities that will be carried out by RSVP volunteers
		description and data collection plans
		clude target numbers that lead to outcomes or outputs, and are appropriate for the level of
	_	eers assigned to the work plan.
	Excellent (50 pts.)	Clearly and convincingly connects a community need and the service activities to a National
		Performance Measure output and <u>OUTCOME</u> appropriate to the number of unduplicated
		volunteers.
		 Goes beyond what was requested, and commits to National Performance Measure
		outcomes that address the community need.
		Provides a thorough, detailed response to all of the information requested.
	1	1 - 2 - 3 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM			
2014 RSVP Competition			
Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc.	Applicant	: ID # 14S	R555555
Opportunity # AZ-03	Panel #	47	
Reviewer Name Joe Smith	PC X	Int	Ex

		Provides a clear and highly compelling description of how the proposed activities
		connect the community need to a National Performance Measure output and outcome.
		Links four major element ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and
		connecting a community need to RSVP volunteer activity, data collection instrument, and
		National Performance Measure outputs and outcomes that are appropriate to the
		number of unduplicated volunteers.
		■ Includes a Data Collection Plan.
	Good (34 pts.)	Clearly and convincingly connects a community need and the service activities to a National
		Performance Measure OUTPUT appropriate to the number of unduplicated volunteers.
		Provides a response to all of the information requested.
		 Provides a realistic description of how the proposed activities connect the community need to National Performance Measure outputs.
		 Links four major elements explaining and connecting a community need to RSVP
		volunteer activity, data collection instrument, and National Performance Measure outputs
		that are appropriate to the number of unduplicated volunteers.
		■ Includes a Data Collection Plan.
	Fair (18 pts.)	Connects a community need and the service activities to a National Performance Measure
		<u>OUTPUT</u> .
		 Covers a community need, service activities, instrument descriptions and a National Performance Measure output that are related.
		■ Is sometimes unclear how the proposed activities connect the community need to a
		National Performance Measure output and align with the National Performance Measure
		instructions.
		Includes unrealistic target numbers or volunteer numbers.
		Makes some assumptions and leaves some reasons unexplained in describing and
		connecting a community need to RSVP volunteer activity, data collection instruments,
		and a National Performance Measure output.
		Outputs and Outcomes may not be appropriate for the number of unduplicated
		volunteers.
0	Does Not Meet (0 pts.)	Does not connect the four major elements.
		■ The community need, service activities, data collection instrument, and National
		Performance Measure output are not related.
		 Gives an unclear description of how the proposed activities connect the community need
		to National Performance Measure outputs.
		 Includes at least one work plan with zero target numbers.
		■ Did not connect a community need to RSVP volunteer activity, data collection
		instrument, and a National Performance Measure outcome.
		Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
		Does not address one of the four major elements.
	Q6*. Work plans logically c	onnect four major elements in the Other Focus Areas and Capacity Building to each other and are
		rmance Measure instructions:
	1. The community	
		vities that will be carried out by RSVP volunteers
		description and data collection plans
		ude target numbers that lead to outcomes or outputs, and are appropriate for the level of duplicated
		d to the work plan.
		ill only be applicable to applications with service activities in Other Focus Areas and
	Capacity Building.	
	N/A (Double Q5 pts)	This application does not include service activities in Other Focus Areas and Capacity Building.

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM			
2014 RSVP COMPETITION			
Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc.	Applic	ant ID # 1	4SR555555
Opportunity # AZ-03	Panel 7	4 7	
Reviewer Name Joe Smith	PC X	Int	Ex

	Excellent (50 pts.)	Clearly and convincingly connects a community need and the service activities to a National			
		Performance Measure output and OUTCOMES appropriate to the number of unduplicated			
		volunteers.			
		Goes beyond what was requested, and commits to National Performance Measure			
		outcomes that address the community need.			
		 Provides a thorough, detailed response to all of the information requested. 			
		Provides a clear and highly compelling description of how the proposed activities			
		connect the community need to a National Performance Measure output and outcome.			
		 Links four major element ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting a community need to RSVP volunteer activity, data collection instrument, and 			
		a National Performance Measure output and outcome.			
		■ Includes a Data Collection Plan.			
34	X_Good (34 pts.)	Clearly and convincingly connects a community need and the service activities to a National			
	_	Performance Measure <u>OUTPUT</u> .			
		 Provides a response to all of the information requested. 			
		 Provides a realistic description of how the proposed activities connect the community 			
		need to National Performance Measure outputs.			
		 Links four major elements explaining and connecting a community need to RSVP 			
		volunteer activity, data collection instrument, and a National Performance Measure			
		output.			
	F-:- (10 -t-)	Includes a Data Collection Plan.			
	Fair (18 pts.)	Connects a community need and the service activities to a National Performance Measure <u>OUTPUT</u> .			
		Covers a community need, service activities, instrument descriptions and a National			
		Performance Measure output that are related.			
		Is sometimes unclear how the proposed activities connect the community need to a National Performance Measure output and align with the National Performance Measure			
		instructions.			
		 Includes unrealistic target numbers or volunteer numbers. Makes some assumptions and leaves some reasons unexplained in describing and 			
		connecting a community need to RSVP volunteer activity, data collection instruments, and a National Performance Measure output.			
		Outputs and Outcomes may not be appropriate for the number of unduplicated			
		volunteers.			
	Does Not Meet (0 pts.)	Does not connect the four major elements.			
		■ The community need, service activities, data collection instrument, and National			
		Performance Measure output are not related.			
		Gives an unclear description of how the proposed activities connect the community need to National Performance Measure outputs.			
		■ Includes at least one work plan with zero target numbers.			
		■ Did not connect a community need to RSVP volunteer activity, data collection			
		instrument, and a National Performance Measure outcome.			
		■ Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.			
	25.7	Does not address one of the four major elements.			
	Q7. In assessing the work plans, applications will receive credit for percentage of unduplicated * volunteers in				
	>800/-	sure outcome work plans above the minimum 10%. (Note: This percentage is generated by the eGrants performance module. Potential applicants			
	(30 pts.)	may use the recommended worksheet associated with the Senior Corps: RSVP Grant Application			
	0076 - 8076 (40 pts.)				

Corporation for National and Community Service				
INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM				
2014 RSVP Competition				
			TO 11 + 10 7	
Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc.	Aı	pplicant	ID # 14SI	3555555
Opportunity # AZ-03	Pa	ınel #	47	
Reviewer Name Joe Smith	PC 2	X	Int	Ex

	400/ -/	to develop their work plans.)		
	40% - <60% _(30 pts.)	<u>) </u>		
	X_20% - <40% (20 pts.			
	_> 10% - <20% _{(10 pts.}	performing each service activity. Each volunteer can only be counted once when assigned to a		
	_<10% (0 pts.)	service activity. The volunteer should be counted in the area where he/ she will make the most		
	(v pts.)	number of hours served.		
	STRENGTHS: (Pro	ovide significant strengths identified in your assessment)		
	The Community Need do found that 52% of third §	escription of the Primary Focus Area of Education includes 2013 statistics from a local study which grade students are not reading at grade level. The need description in both the work plans and the onal statements from two school board members who indicated the need for one-on-one tutoring to		
	increase reading levels.			
	National Performance M	ta collection plans is included, which semi-annual collection, the use of a newly adapted tool for easures, and the use of Advisory Council Members to assist in collection and management of eport on outputs and outcomes.		
	WEAKNESSES: (D.	rovide significant weaknesses identified in your assessment)		
	A veterans transportation	n activity is described, but it is not clear whether RSVP volunteers will be serving as drivers or Other aspects of the activity are unclear including whether military families will also be involved.		
	coordinating the activity.	Other aspects of the activity are thicitear including whether minutary families will also be involved.		
	outcomes selected. Outc	work plans include a strong community need, but the service activity descriptions do not relate to the some ED 5 is selected (improved literacy and math), but the service activity description is about being ion, at least two of the Primary Focus Area work plans include zero targets for outcomes.		
	Postuitment and Davidonment of Voluntaire (15%)			
	Recruitment and Development of Volunteers (15%) Q8. Demonstrates a plan and infrastructure to create well-developed high quality RSVP volunteer assignment			
		eir experiences, abilities, and skills to improve their communities and themselves through service in		
	Excellent (38 pts.)	Realistic plan and infrastructure to create high quality RSVP volunteer assignments.		
	(36 pts.)	 Volunteer assignments include all of the following: opportunities to share their 		
		experiences, abilities, and skills to improve their communities and themselves through service in their communities.		
		Goes beyond what was requested and is actively measuring the impact of volunteer activity on the RSVP volunteer.		
		 Provides a clear and realistic plan to create high quality RSVP volunteer assignments, and the infrastructure to sustain this volunteer coordination. 		
26	_x_Good (26 pts.)	Realistic plan and infrastructure to create high quality RSVP volunteer assignments.		
	_A_Good (26 pts.)	 Volunteer assignments include at least three of the following: opportunities to share 		
		their experiences, abilities, and skills to improve their communities and themselves		
		through service in their communities.		
		through service in their communities.Provides a realistic plan to create high quality RSVP volunteer assignments.		
		 through service in their communities. Provides a realistic plan to create high quality RSVP volunteer assignments. Explains most assumptions regarding infrastructure to sustain this volunteer 		
	Fair a.	through service in their communities.Provides a realistic plan to create high quality RSVP volunteer assignments.		
	Fair (14 pts.)	 through service in their communities. Provides a realistic plan to create high quality RSVP volunteer assignments. Explains most assumptions regarding infrastructure to sustain this volunteer coordination. 		

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM 2014 RSVP COMPETITION Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc. Opportunity # AZ-03 Reviewer Name Joe Smith Community Group, Inc. Applicant ID # 14SR555555 Panel # 47 PC X Int Ex

		service in their communities.
		 Is sometimes unclear how the proposed plan and infrastructure will create high quality
		RSVP volunteer assignments.
		 Makes some assumptions regarding the infrastructure required to coordinate volunteers.
	D. M. M.	Unrealistic or no plan to create high quality RSVP volunteer assignments.
	Does Not Meet ₍₀	Volunteer assignments include only one of the following: opportunities to share their
	pts.)	experiences, abilities, and skills to improve their communities and themselves through
	1 /	service in their communities.
		Gives an unclear description of how the proposed plan or infrastructure will create high
		quality RSVP volunteer assignments.
		 Does not address volunteer coordination or gives many unsupported assumptions.
		■ Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
	O9. Demonstrates a plan a	nd infrastructure to ensure RSVP volunteers receive training needed to be highly effective means to
		nunity need(s) in both the Primary Focus Area and in Other Focus Areas or Capacity Building.
		Realistic plan and infrastructure to create high quality RSVP volunteer training that includes
	Excellent (38 pts.)	evaluations of the training by the RSVP volunteers or the stations.
		 Goes beyond what was requested and is actively evaluating the training.
		Provides a clear and realistic plan to train volunteers, with infrastructure that includes a
		training curriculum and training material.
26	_x_Good _(26 pts.)	Realistic plan and infrastructure to train RSVP volunteers.
	_A_GOOd (26 pts.)	Provides a realistic plan to train volunteer.
		 Explains most assumptions regarding infrastructure required to support RSVP
		volunteer training.
	Fair	Realistic plan to train RSVP volunteers.
	—Fair (14 pts.)	 Is sometimes unclear how the training activity is related to service activities.
		Makes some assumptions regarding infrastructure required to support RSVP volunteer
		training.
	Does Not Meet ₍₀	Unrealistic or no plan to provide training to RSVP volunteers.
	<u></u>	 Gives an unclear description of how the proposed training is related to service activities.
	pts.)	■ Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
		 Does not address RSVP volunteer training.
	Q10. Describes the demogr	raphics of the community served and plans to recruit a volunteer pool reflective of the community
	served. This could possibly	
	1. Individuals from o	diverse races, ethnicities, sexual orientations, or degrees of English language proficiency.
	2. Veterans and milit	tary family members as RSVP volunteers.
	3. RSVP volunteers	
	_Excellent (38 pts.)	Realistic plan and infrastructure for significant activity in the recruitment and development of
	(So pro.)	RSVP volunteers who are from one of the specific volunteer pools above, and that includes
		developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool.
		Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with
		volunteer stations that will assist in recruitment and development. Provides a clear and highly compelling plan to recruit and develop RSVP volunteers
		 Provides a clear and highly compelling plan to recruit and develop RSVP volunteers from one of the above volunteer pools.
		 Supports ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting
		supports ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting service activity to recruitment and development.
		 Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including
		demographic information about all three volunteer pools above.
26	v. Cood	Realistic plan and infrastructure for significant activity in the recruitment and development of
	_x_Good (26 pts.)	RSVP volunteers from one of the specific volunteer pools above.
L	1	ı l

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM			
2014 RSVP COMPETITION			
Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc.	Applic	ant ID # 1	4SR555555
Opportunity # AZ-03	Panel 7	4 7	
Reviewer Name Joe Smith	PC X	Int	Ex

		 Provides a realistic plan to recruit and develop one of the above volunteer pools.
		Explains most assumptions about infrastructure required for recruitment.
		 Supports ideas with plans, examples, or outlines.
		 Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including
		demographic information about two of the three volunteer pools above.
	г.	Realistic plan for the recruitment and development of volunteers from one of the specific
	—Fair (14 pts.)	volunteer pools above.
		 Plan is sometimes unclear how the proposed activities will serve recruitment and
		development from one of the above volunteer pools.
		 Makes some assumptions about infrastructure required for recruitment.
		 Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including
		demographic information about one of the three volunteer pools above.
	Does Not Meet (0	Unrealistic or no plan for the recruitment and development of volunteers who are from one of
	<u></u>	the specific volunteer pools above.
	pts.)	 Gives an unclear plan of how the proposed activities will serve recruitment.
		 Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
		 Does not address the recruitment of RSVP volunteers from one of the specific
		volunteer pools above.
		 Does not include a description of the community demographics.
	Q11. Demonstrates a plan	and infrastructure to retain and recognize RSVP volunteers.
	Excellent (36 pts.)	Plan and infrastructure for significant retention and recognition activity that includes measuring
	(50 pts.)	the satisfaction of current volunteers.
		 Goes beyond what was requested, and is actively managing retention activities including
		volunteer satisfaction measurement.
		 Provides a clear and highly compelling plan of how the proposed recognition activities
		will serve volunteer retention.
24	_x_Good _(24 pts.)	Plan and infrastructure for significant retention and recognition activity.
	(= . []	 Provides a realistic plan of how the proposed recognition activities will serve volunteer
		retention.
		Explains most assumptions regarding infrastructure that supports volunteer retention.
	—Fair (12 pts.)	Plan for some retention and recognition activity.
		Plan is sometimes unclear how the proposed recognition activities will serve volunteer
		retention.
		Makes some assumptions regarding volunteer retention.
	Does Not Meet ₍₀	Unrealistic or no retention and recognition activity.
		Gives an unclear plan of how the proposed recognition activities will support volunteer
	pts.)	retention.
		Gives many unsupported assumptions regarding volunteer retention.
	OTTO TO THE	Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
		ide significant strengths identified in your assessment)
		vity includes an intergenerational component, which allows RSVP volunteers to share their
		ge tutors as well as the students that receive tutoring. An additional RSVP leadership component is
		with natural leadership ability to act as role models for new RSVP tutors. For those RSVP
	volunteers that inight need	a refresher in third grade reading, guidebooks are available to help build this skill.
	The application includes a	robust training plan that includes not only an RSVP orientation, but service activity-specific
		ry Focus Area of Education and Other Focus Areas selected including Healthy Futures and
		ilies. The trainings cover tutoring, gardening (for the community gardens service activity), and
		0. 10.11 cm0, 0 and 0 and 0 and 0 and 0 and 0 and 0

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM				
2014 RSVP COMPETITION				
Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc.		Applicant	ID # 14SI	R555555
Opportunity # AZ-03		Panel #	47	
Reviewer Name Joe Smith	P	CX	Int	Ex

	information about veterans	services in the community.
	population identifying as ve	ecific demographics for veterans and people with disabilities in the community, with 10% of the eterans and 10% of the total population identifying as a person with a disability. For the three year at plans to focus on recruiting more veterans through a partnership with the local VA hospital.
	WEAKNESSES: (Pro None for this section.	vide significant weaknesses identified in your assessment)
	II. Organizational Cap	acity (35%)
	_	ement – Questions 12-16
		Capability – Questions 17-20
	Program Management	
		are to ensure management of volunteer stations in compliance with RSVP program regulations tifying prohibited activities).
	Excellent (30 pts.)	Realistic and dynamic plan and infrastructure to ensure volunteer stations and assignments comply with RSVP program regulations and have a plan to prevent and identify prohibited activities.
		 Goes beyond what was requested, is actively evaluating and assessing current volunteer station management.
		Provides a clear and realistic plan to manage volunteer stations, and the infrastructure to sustain them.
	0 1	Addresses how to prevent or identify prohibited activities. Realistic plan and infrastructure to ensure volunteer stations and assignments comply with RSVP
	_Good (20 pts.)	program regulations.
		Provides a realistic plan to engage and manage volunteer stations.
		■ Explains most assumptions.
		 Explains most assumptions about prevention of or identifying prohibited activities.
	Fair (10 pts.)	Realistic plan to ensure volunteer stations and assignments comply with RSVP program regulations.
		Is sometimes unclear how the proposed plan will ensure compliance with RSVP program regulations.
		 Makes some assumptions regarding infrastructure required to prevent or identify prohibited activities.
0	_x_Does Not Meet ₍₀	Unrealistic or no plan to ensure volunteer stations and assignments comply with RSVP program regulations.
	pts.)	 Gives an unclear description of how the proposed plan or infrastructure will ensure compliance with RSVP program regulations.
		 Gives many unsupported assumptions regarding prevention of or identification of prohibited activities.
		■ Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
	Q13. Plans and infrastructu	are to develop and/or oversee volunteer stations to ensure that volunteers are performing their

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM			
2014 RSVP COMPETITION			
Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc.	Applicant	I D # 14S	R555555
Opportunity # AZ-03	Panel #	47	
Reviewer Name Joe Smith	PC X	Int	Ex

	assigned service activities.	
	_Excellent (30 pts.)	Realistic and dynamic plan and infrastructure for developing and overseeing volunteer stations to
	(30 pts.)	ensure that volunteers are performing assigned service activities.
		 Goes beyond what was requested; is actively evaluating and assessing current volunteer
		assignments.
		 Clearly describes plans and infrastructure to develop and/or oversee volunteer stations
		to ensure that volunteers are performing assigned service activities.
		 Provides a clear and highly compelling description of how the proposed activities will
		be managed by the project.
	Good (20 pts.)	Realistic plan and infrastructure for developing and overseeing volunteer stations to ensure that
	(==	volunteers are performing assigned service activities.
		Provides a realistic description of plans and infrastructure to develop and/or oversee
		volunteer stations in order to ensure volunteers are performing assigned activities.
		Explains most assumptions and reasons.
	Fair (10 pts.)	Realistic plan for developing and overseeing volunteer stations to ensure that volunteers are
	(1 /	performing assigned service activities.
		Is sometimes unclear how the volunteer stations will be developed or overseen.
		Makes some assumptions and leaves some reasons unexplained.
0	_x_Does Not Meet (0	Unrealistic or no plan for developing and overseeing volunteer stations to ensure that volunteers
	ata)	are performing assigned service activities.
	pts.)	 Gives an unclear description of how the volunteer stations will be developed or overseen.
		Gives many unsupported assumptions and reasons with little or no connection between overseeing stations and ensuring volunteers are performing assigned activities.
		Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
		Does not address or mention volunteer stations or assigned service activities.
	O14 Plans and infrastructu	re to meet changing community needs to include minimizing disruption to current volunteers as
	applicable and/or graduating	
		ore information on graduating volunteer stations.)
	_Excellent (30 pts.)	Describes significant plans and infrastructure to responsibly graduate volunteer stations to meet
	Executive (30 pts.)	changing community needs and plans to minimize disruptions to current volunteers where
		possible.
		Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has significant plans to
		responsibly graduate volunteer stations that do not address specific community needs.
		 Provides a realistic description of how the proposed activities will minimize disruption
		to current volunteers.
		 Supports ideas with plans, examples, or outlines.
	Good (20 pts.)	Describes plans and infrastructure to responsibly graduate volunteer stations to meet changing
	— (20 pts.)	community needs and plans and infrastructure to minimize disruptions to current volunteers.
		 Provides a realistic description of how the proposed activities will minimize disruption
		to current volunteers.
		Supports ideas with plans, examples, or outlines.
10	_x_Fair (10 pts.)	No plans to graduate volunteer stations and/or adjust programming to meet changing
	(- « P.w.)	community needs now or in the future.
		Does not describe why there will be no need for graduating volunteer stations (for
		example, there is no current RSVP grant in this geographic service area).
	Does Not Meet (0	Plan to graduate volunteer stations without plans or infrastructure to minimize disruptions to
	nts)	current volunteers where possible.
<u> </u>	pts.)	Gives an unclear description of how the proposed graduation of stations will not lead

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM 2014 RSVP COMPETITION Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc. Applicant ID # 14SR555555 Opportunity # AZ-03 Panel # 47 Reviewer Name Joe Smith PC X Int Ex

		to any disruption of volunteers.
		Gives many unsupported assumptions and reasons why volunteers will not be
		disrupted.
		■ Did not connect the plans to minimizing disruptions.
		Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
		Does not address the requirement to minimize disruptions to current RSVP volunteers
		where possible.
	O15. Demonstrates an orga	anizational track record in managing volunteers in the Primary Focus Area, to include if applicable,
	measuring performance in	
		The applicant organization demonstrates a track record of effective management of volunteers in
	Excellent (30 pts.)	the Primary Focus Area and in measuring performance in the Primary Focus Area.
		 Previous or current evidence of effective management of volunteers in the Primary
		Focus Area and in measuring performance in the Primary Focus Area.
		Examples of current and past performance measure outcomes.
		Provides a thorough, detailed response to all of the information requested, in 1)
		managing volunteers, 2) Primary Focus Area, and 3) measuring performance.
	Good	The applicant organization has a track record of effective management of volunteers in the
	Good (20 pts.)	Primary Focus Area.
		■ Demonstrates a sound track record in managing volunteers in the Primary Focus Area.
		Examples of current or past activity in the Primary Focus Area.
		Provides most of the information requested in 1) managing volunteers, 2) Primary
		Focus Area, and 3) measuring performance.
	Foir	The applicant organization has some experience in managing volunteers or some experience in
	—Fair (10 pts.)	the Primary Focus Area.
		 Demonstrates some experience in managing volunteers OR demonstrates some
		experience in the Primary Focus Area.
		 Includes minimal examples of current or past activity.
		Provides responses to only two of the three parts of the information requested in 1)
		managing volunteers, 2) Primary Focus Area, and 3) measuring performance.
0	_x_Does Not Meet (0	The applicant organization has no experience in either managing volunteers or the Primary Focus
		Area.
	pts.)	No examples of current or past activity in managing volunteers or in the Primary Focus
		Area.
		and infrastructure to ensure the project is in compliance with the RSVP federal regulations to
		P Advisory Council, ensuring RSVP volunteers are placed in stations that have signed the required
	MOU, and ensuring all volu	unteers are eligible to serve in RSVP.
	_Excellent (30 pts.)	Realistic and dynamic plan and infrastructure to ensure the project is in compliance with the
	(50 pts.)	RSVP federal regulations to include establishing an RSVP Advisory Council, ensuring RSVP
		volunteers are placed in stations that have signed the required MOU, and ensuring all volunteers
		are eligible to serve in RSVP.
		Goes beyond what was requested, is actively evaluating and assessing current RSVP
		Advisory Council, station requirements, and volunteer eligibility.
		Provides a clear and realistic plan to manage volunteer and station requirements, and
		the infrastructure to sustain this management.
	Good (20 pts.)	Realistic plan and infrastructure to ensure the project is in compliance with the RSVP federal
	, 1 /	regulations to include establishing an RSVP Advisory Council, ensuring RSVP volunteers are
		placed in stations that have signed the required MOU, and ensuring all volunteers are eligible to serve in RSVP.
		 Provides a realistic plan to engage and manage volunteer stations.

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM			
2014 RSVP COMPETITION			
Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc.	Applicant	: ID # 14S	R555555
Opportunity # AZ-03	Panel #	47	
Reviewer Name Joe Smith	PC X	Int	Ex

		Explains most assumptions.			
		Provides a realistic plan for an RSVP Advisory Council.			
10	_x_Fair (10 pts.)	Realistic plan to ensure the project is in compliance with the RSVP federal regulations to include establishing an RSVP Advisory Council, ensuring RSVP volunteers are placed in stations that have signed the required MOU, and ensuring all volunteers are eligible to serve in RSVP. Is sometimes unclear how the proposed plan will ensure compliance with RSVP program regulations for volunteer stations and volunteers. Makes some assumptions regarding infrastructure required to support the RSVP			
		Advisory Council.			
	Does Not Meet ₍₀ pts.)	Unrealistic or no plan to ensure the project is in compliance with the RSVP federal regulations to include establishing an RSVP Advisory Council, ensuring RSVP volunteers are placed in stations that have signed the required MOU, and ensuring all volunteers are eligible to serve in RSVP. Gives an unclear description of how the proposed plan or infrastructure will ensure compliance with RSVP program regulations for Advisory Council establishment and station and volunteer eligibility requirements. Gives many unsupported assumptions.			
		 Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it. 			
	STRENGTHS: (Prov	vide significant strengths identified in your assessment)			
	None for this section.	vide significant strengths identified in your assessment)			
	None for this section.				
	WEAKNIECCEC @				
	The application does not g	rovide significant weaknesses identified in your assessment) go into any detail about the plans for managing stations in compliance with RSVP regulations. The t stations will be managed appropriately.			
	The applicant states that b developing and overseeing	both RSVP volunteers and staff will develop new stations. The statement makes the plans for g stations unclear.			
	779 11 1				
	The application does not a	address graduating stations.			
		hey have not previously had RSVP service activities in the Primary Focus Area of Education. This mpting any tutoring activities.			
	Organizational Capal	bility (20%)			
		ture to provide sound programmatic and fiscal oversight (both financial and in-kind) and day-to-day			
		operational support to ensure compliance with RSVP program requirements (statutes, regulations, and applicable OMB			
	circulars) and to ensure ac	. 1 '1'.			
		ecountability and efficient and effective use of available resources.			
	Excellent	countability and efficient and effective use of available resources. Highest confidence in the plan and infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and fiscal			
	Excellent (50 pts.)	Highest confidence in the plan and infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and fiscal			
	Excellent (50 pts.)	Highest confidence in the plan and infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and fiscal oversight, day-to-day operational support, to ensure compliance with RSVP program			
	Excellent (50 pts.)	Highest confidence in the plan and infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and fiscal oversight, day-to-day operational support, to ensure compliance with RSVP program requirements and to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of available resources.			
	Excellent (50 pts.)	Highest confidence in the plan and infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and fiscal oversight, day-to-day operational support, to ensure compliance with RSVP program requirements and to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of available resources.			
	Excellent (50 pts.)	Highest confidence in the plan and infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and fiscal oversight, day-to-day operational support, to ensure compliance with RSVP program requirements and to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of available resources. Goes beyond what was requested, is actively evaluating how programmatic and fiscal			
	Excellent (50 pts.)	Highest confidence in the plan and infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and fiscal oversight, day-to-day operational support, to ensure compliance with RSVP program requirements and to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of available resources. Goes beyond what was requested, is actively evaluating how programmatic and fiscal oversight and day-to-day operational support may affect internal policies.			
	Excellent (50 pts.)	Highest confidence in the plan and infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and fiscal oversight, day-to-day operational support, to ensure compliance with RSVP program requirements and to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of available resources. Goes beyond what was requested, is actively evaluating how programmatic and fiscal			

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM			
2014 RSVP COMPETITION			
Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc.	Applican	t ID # 14S	R555555
Opportunity # AZ-03	Panel #	47	
Reviewer Name Joe Smith	PC X	Int	Ex

34	_x_Good (34 pts.)	High confidence in the plan and infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and fiscal
	= = (34 pts.)	oversight, day-to-day operational support, to ensure compliance with RSVP program
		requirements and to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of available resources.
		 Provides a realistic plan to manage and assess sound programmatic and fiscal oversight
		and day-to-day operational support, to ensure accountability and efficient and effective
		use of available resources.
		 Explains most assumptions regarding infrastructure to provide sound programmatic
		and fiscal oversight.
	—Fair (18 pts.)	Fair to acceptable confidence in the plan and infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and
	(18 pts.)	fiscal oversight, day-to-day operational support, to ensure compliance with RSVP program
		requirements and to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of available resources.
		 Provides a realistic plan to manage sound programmatic and fiscal oversight and day-to-
		day operational support, to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of
		available resources.
		 Makes some assumptions regarding infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and
		fiscal oversight.
	Does Not Meet (0	Low confidence in the plan or absence of infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and
	(0	fiscal oversight, day-to-day operational support, to ensure compliance with RSVP program
	pts.)	requirements and to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of available resources.
		 Does not provide a clear description of sound programmatic and fiscal oversight and
		day-to-day operational support, to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use
		of available resources.
		• Gives many unsupported assumptions regarding operational infrastructure.
		Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
	Q18. Demonstrates clearly	defined paid staff positions, including identification of current staff assigned to the project and
		nsure the accomplishment of program objectives.
		Provides clearly defined paid staff positions, including how these positions will ensure the
	Excellent (50 pts.)	accomplishment of program objectives and (as applicable) identification of current staff assigned
		to the project.
		Goes beyond what was requested and is actively assessing staff position compatibility
		with project management.
		Provides a clear and realistic plan that connects paid staff with the accomplishment of
		program objectives.
	Good	Provides clearly defined staff positions, including how these positions will ensure the
	_Good (34 pts.)	accomplishment of program objectives and (as applicable) identification of current staff assigned
		to the project.
		Provides a realistic staff planning infrastructure.
		Staff assignments are coordinated with project management.
		Explains most assumptions regarding the infrastructure required for paid staff.
18	P :	Provides some description of paid staff positions, including (as applicable) identification of
10	_x_Fair (18 pts.)	current staff assigned to the project.
		Provides a realistic staff planning infrastructure.
		1 9
		Staff assignments are coordinated with project management. Malana and a support in a support in the information and for a side to 65.
		Makes some assumptions regarding the infrastructure required for paid staff.
	Does Not Meet (0	No clear description of paid staff positions, including (as applicable) identification of current
	()	staff assigned to the project.
	pts.)	 Does not provide a clear description of how staff assignments are coordinated with
		project management.
		 Gives many unsupported assumptions regarding the infrastructure required for paid

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM 2014 RSVP COMPETITION Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc. Applicant ID # 14SR555555 Opportunity # AZ-03 Reviewer Name Joe Smith PC X Int Ex

		staff.			
		Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.			
		nonstrates organizational capacity to:			
		ement internal policies and operating procedures to provide governance and manage risk, such as			
	U 1	nnel management, and purchasing.			
	2. Manage capital ass	sets such as facilities, equipment, and supplies.			
	Excellent (50 pts.)	Highest probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure as described above.			
		 Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has anticipated issues that may arise and provides details on solutions to potential organizational issues. 			
		 Provides a thorough, detailed response to all of the information requested above. 			
		 Provides a clear and highly compelling description of sufficient organizational 			
		infrastructure to support the project and grant funds.			
34	_x_Good (34 pts.)	High probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure as described above.			
		 Provides a response to all of the information requested above. 			
		 Provides a realistic description of sufficient organizational infrastructure to support the 			
		project and grant funds.			
		 Supports ideas with plans, examples, or outlines. 			
	Fair (18 pts.)	Fair to acceptable probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational			
	(18 pts.)	infrastructure as described above.			
		 Covers most of the information requested above, with a few exceptions. 			
		 Provides a realistic description of sufficient organizational infrastructure to support the 			
		project and grant funds.			
		 Makes some assumptions and leaves some reasons unexplained. 			
	Does Not Meet ₍₀	Low probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure as required above.			
	pts.)	 Does not describe sufficient organizational infrastructure to support the project and grant funds. 			
		 Makes many assumptions and many reasons are not defined. 			
		■ Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it			
		■ Does not provide one or more key pieces of information requested above.			
	Q20. Demonstrates organiz	rational infrastructure in the areas of robust financial management capacity and systems and past			
	experience managing federa				
	1 1 1 1				
	Excellent	Highest probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure in			
	Excellent (50 pts.)	financial management systems and experience managing federal grant funds.			
		Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has anticipated issues that			
		may arise in financial management systems and managing federal grant funds and			
		provides details on solutions to potential organizational issues.			
		 Provides a thorough, detailed response that addresses both robust financial 			
		management systems and past experience managing federal grant funds to include			
		examples and outlines.			
		 Provides a clear and highly compelling description of sufficient organizational 			
		infrastructure to support the grant funds.			
	_Good (34 pts.)	High probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure in			
	— (34 pts.)	financial management systems and experience managing federal grant funds.			
		 Provides a response to both robust financial management systems and past experience 			

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM							
2014 RSVP COMPETITION							
Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc.		Applicant	ID # 14SI	R555555			
Opportunity # AZ-03		Panel # 47					
Reviewer Name Joe Smith	P	CX	Int	Ex			

I		managing federal grant funds.				
		 Provides a realistic description of sufficient organizational infrastructure to support the grant funds. 				
İ		 Supports ideas with plans, examples, or outlines. 				
18	_x_Fair (18 pts.)	Fair to acceptable probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure in financial management systems and experience managing federal grant funds. Covers most of the information for both robust financial management systems and past experience managing federal grant funds, with a few exceptions. Provides a realistic description of sufficient organizational infrastructure to support the				
		grant funds.				
	Does Not Meet ₍₀	 Makes some assumptions and leaves some reasons unexplained. Low probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure in financial management systems and experience managing federal grant funds. 				
	pts.)	 Does not describe sufficient organizational infrastructure to support the grant funds. Makes many assumptions and many reasons are not defined. 				
		 Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it Does not provide one or more key pieces of information requested. 				
	The applicant organization Daily reports are shared w	vide significant strengths identified in your assessment) In has a three-person fiscal office that is responsible for managing the fiscal aspects of the grant. In high project director to demonstrate funds available and level of in-kind non-federal share. The ular training on OMB circulars.				
WEAKNESSES: (Provide significant weaknesses identified in your assessment) Three project staff are identified but it is not clear how their roles are defined.						

APPLICANT FEEDBACK AND CLARIFICATION

A. Significant Strengths and Weaknesses for Applicant Feedback

<u>List 5-8 comments</u> about how the application addresses the Selection Criteria. Using complete sentences, address the significant strengths and weaknesses identified in your assessment that attributed to the selected Ratings, per the reviewer rubric. The comments must be selected from strengths and weaknesses already noted above. <u>Ensure the comments</u> respond directly to the Selection Criteria from all categories (program design, program management, and organizational capability).

STRENGTHS:

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM 2014 RSVP COMPETITION							
Legal Applicant: North Central Area Community Group, Inc.	Applicant	ID # 14S1	R555555				
Opportunity # AZ-03	Panel #	Panel # 47					
Reviewer Name Joe Smith	PC X	Int	Ex				

The Community Need description of the Primary Focus Area of Education includes 2013 statistics from a local study which found that 52% of third grade students are not reading at grade level. The need description in both the work plans and the narrative included additional statements from two school board members who indicated the need for one-on-one tutoring to increase reading levels.

The Education service activity includes an intergenerational component, which allows RSVP volunteers to share their experiences with college-age tutors as well as the students that receive tutoring. An additional RSVP leadership component is included that allows those with natural leadership ability to act as role models for new RSVP tutors. For those RSVP volunteers that might need a refresher in third grade reading, guidebooks are available to help build this skill.

The application includes specific demographics for veterans and people with disabilities in the community, with 10% of the population identifying as veterans and 10% of the total population identifying as a person with a disability. For the three year project period, the applicant plans to focus on recruiting more veterans through a partnership with the local VA hospital.

The applicant organization has a three-person fiscal office that is responsible for managing the fiscal aspects of the grant. Daily reports are shared with the project director to demonstrate funds available and level of in-kind non-federal share. The fiscal office receives a regular training on OMB circulars.

WEAKNESSES:

The Primary Focus Area work plans include a strong community need, but the service activity descriptions do not relate to the outcomes selected. Outcome ED 5 is selected (improved literacy and math), but the service activity description is about being a teacher's aide. In addition, at least two of the Primary Focus Area work plans include zero targets for outcomes.

The applicant states that both RSVP volunteers and staff will develop new stations. The statement makes the plans for developing and overseeing stations unclear.

The application does not address graduating stations.

The applicant states that they have not previously had RSVP service activities in the Primary Focus Area of Education. This will be their first time attempting any tutoring activities.

B. CLARIFICATION

LIST CLARIFICATION QUESTIONS BELOW. GUIDELINES FOR CLARIFICATION CAN BE FOUND IN THE REVIEWER TRAINING. PHRASE ALL CLARIFICATION ITEMS AS QUESTIONS OR REQUESTS FOR FURTHER INFORMATION.

WHAT (IF ANY) ADDITIONAL CHANGES WOULD BE NEEDED TO THE WORK PLANS TO ENSURE THAT TARGET NUMBERS ARE INCLUDED FOR ALL OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES?

PLEASE EXPLAIN WHETHER ANY STATIONS OR VOLUNTEERS WOULD NEED TO BE GRADUATED IN ORDER TO SHIFT ACTIVITIES TO THE NEW EDUCATION SERVICE ACTIVITIES.